

CS635

Daniel G. Aliaga Department of Computer Science Purdue University



- A technique for estimating the surface normals of objects by observing that object under different lighting conditions
  - Then, using the surface normals, a plausible surface geometry can be reconstructed
  - Woodham in 1980
- Related: when using a single image, it is called shape from shading
  - B. K. P. Horn in 1989



What are the values for  $n_i$ ?















$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{1x} + n_{y}l_{1y} + n_{z}l_{1z}) = c_{1}$$
  

$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{2x} + n_{y}l_{2y} + n_{z}l_{2z}) = c_{2}$$
  

$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{3x} + n_{y}l_{3y} + n_{z}l_{3z}) = c_{3}$$





 $\alpha(n_{x}l_{1x} + n_{y}l_{1y} + n_{z}l_{1z}) = c_{1}$  $\alpha(n_{x}l_{2x} + n_{y}l_{2y} + n_{z}l_{2z}) = c_{2}$  $\alpha(n_x l_{3x} + n_y l_{3y} + n_z l_{3z}) = c_3$ 



Using  $l_1$ 

Using  $l_2$ 

Using  $l_3$ 



 $\alpha(n_{x}l_{1x} + n_{y}l_{1y} + n_{z}l_{1z}) = c_{1}$  $\alpha(n_{x}l_{2x} + n_{y}l_{2y} + n_{z}l_{2z}) = c_{2}$  $\alpha(n_{x}l_{3x} + n_{y}l_{3y} + n_{z}l_{3z}) = c_{3}$ 





Using  $l_1$ 



Using  $l_2$ 



Using  $l_3$ 



$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{1x} + n_{y}l_{1y} + n_{z}l_{1z}) = c_{1}$$
  

$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{2x} + n_{y}l_{2y} + n_{z}l_{2z}) = c_{2}$$
  

$$\alpha(n_{x}l_{3x} + n_{y}l_{3y} + n_{z}l_{3z}) = c_{3}$$

What is 
$$n_x, n_y, n_z$$
?  
Write as  $Ln = c$  and solve  $n = L^{-1}c$   
Where  $n = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha n_x & \alpha n_y & \alpha n_z \end{bmatrix}^T$   
 $c = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

What is the surface normal at the point? n/||n||

What is  $\alpha$  (the albedo at the surface point)?  $\alpha = \sqrt{n \cdot n}$ 



### Lambertian Photometric Stereo with Known Lights

- Take three pictures of a static Lambertian object with a static camera
- In each picture move the light to a different but known position
  - For distant lights, could know light direction instead of light position
- At pixel i, solve  $n_i = L^{-1}c_i$
- Use normals to "integrate" a surface



#### Normals -> Surface

• How?





4

#### Normals -> Surface

Surface (height field) is z(x, y)

Surface normal is 
$$n(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} z_x & z_y & -1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

and  $z_x = (z(x+1, y) - z(x, y))$  $z_y = (z(x, y+1) - z(x, y))$ 

As we saw before 
$$\begin{bmatrix} n_x & n_y & n_z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{z_x^2 + z_y^2 + 1}} \begin{bmatrix} z_x & z_y & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So 
$$\frac{n_x}{n_z} = \frac{z_x}{-1}$$
 and  $\frac{n_y}{n_z} = \frac{z_y}{-1}$  or  $z_x = -n_x / n_z$  and  $z_y = -n_y / n_z$ 

Altogether

r: 
$$n_z z(x+1, y) - n_z z(x, y) = n_x$$
  
 $n_z z(x, y+1) - n_z z(x, y) = n_y$ 



#### Normals -> Surface

$$n_z z(x+1, y) - n_z z(x, y) = n_x$$
  
 $n_z z(x, y+1) - n_z z(x, y) = n_y$ 

Can setup as a large over-constrained linear system: Az = b

where A has a bunch of normal values z are the unknown heights (z-values) b is a zero vector and solve  $z = A^{-1}b$  (e.g., use "lsqr" method)



#### Normals -> Surface



























[Basri et al., IJCV, 2007]

## Photometric Stereo with Unknown Lights



- Question:
  - What if both the surface normals and the light directions are unknown?
  - Can we reconstruct the light directions, surface normals, and thus surface geometry (up to the aforementioned ambiguity)?
- Answer:
  - Yes, and the problem is still linear!

# **PS with Unknown Lighting**



#### Recall Ln = c

Think of the normals as located at the origin, then we care about a linear transformation of the sphere  $(n^T n)^{1/2} = 1$ 

Note 
$$n = L^{-1}c = Pc$$
  
So  $(Pc)^T Pc = c^T P^T Pc = c^T Qc = 1$   
And Q is a 3x3 symmetric positive definite matrix:  $= \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} & q_{13} \\ q_{12} & q_{22} & q_{23} \\ q_{13} & q_{23} & q_{33} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Geometrically, this means intensity's c lie on an ellipsoid whose equation is

$$q_{11}c_1^2 + q_{22}c_2^2 + q_{33}c_3^2 + 2q_{12}c_1c_2 + 2q_{13}c_1c_3 + 2q_{23}c_2c_3 - 1 = 0$$
  
which only has 6 unknowns

# **PS with Unknown Lighting**



- Given at least six pixels, can solve for q's
- In general, a large overconstrained linear solution is used

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^{2} & c_{12}^{2} & c_{13}^{2} & 2c_{11}c_{12} & 2c_{11}c_{13} & 2c_{12}c_{13} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_{n1}^{2} & c_{n2}^{2} & c_{n3}^{2} & 2c_{n1}c_{n2} & 2c_{n1}c_{n3} & 2c_{n2}c_{n3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{22} & q_{33} & q_{12} & q_{13} & q_{23} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q = M^{-1}b \quad \text{or} \quad q = (M^{T}M)^{-1}M^{T}b$$

# **PS with Unknown Lighting**



- Given Q, we can plug back and get the angles between light directions and their strengths
- Then "lights are known" and solve for normals as before...
- But...



# **Fundamental Ambiguity**

- NL = C
- $NRR^{-1}L = C$
- $NAA^{-1}L = C$
- $(NA)(A^{-1}L) = C$

Rotation matrix  $\uparrow$  A = RG

Generalized Bas Relief (GBR) Ambiguity matrix



#### **Fundamental Ambiguity**







#### **Fundamental Ambiguity**



Fig. 2. Three-dimensional data for the human head (top row) was obtained using a laser scan (Cyberware) and rendered as a Lambertian surface with constant albedo (equal grey values for all surface points). The subsequent three rows show images of heads whose shapes have been transformed by different generalized bas-relief transformations, but whose albedos have not been transformed. The profile views of the face in the third column reveal the nature the individual transformations and the direction of the light source. The top row image is the true shape; the second from top is a flattened shape ( $\lambda = 0.5$ ) (as are classical bas-reliefs); the third is an elongated shape ( $\lambda = 1.5$ ); and the bottom is a flattened shape plus an additive plane ( $\lambda = 0.7$ ,  $\nu = 0.5$ , and  $\mu = 0.0$ ). The first column shows frontal views of the faces in the third column. From this view the true 3-d structure of the objects cannot be determined; in each image the shadowing patterns are identical, and even though the albedo has not been transformed according to Eq. 3, the shading patterns are so close as to provide few cues as to the true structure. The second column shows near frontal views of the faces from the same row, after having been separately rotated to compensate for the degree of the flattening or elongation. The rotation about the vertical axis is 7° for the first row of the second column; 14° for the second row; 4.6° for the third; and 14° for the fourth row. To mask the shearing produced by the additive plane, the fourth row has also been rotated by 5° about the line of sight.



## **GBR Transform**



• Consider  $\overline{z}(x, y) = \lambda z(x, y) + \mu x + \nu y$ 

– This means "flatten" by  $\lambda$  and add a plane ( $\mu$ , $\nu$ )

- When  $\mu = v = 0$  this is classical "bas-relief"
- Else, it is a generalized bas-relief that can be written as

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mu & \nu & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \qquad G^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ -\mu & -\nu & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Interpretation: given a solution for the normals, we can transform the normals by A and the lights by A<sup>-1</sup> and the resulting picture looks the same...