

Solving ODEs.

①

Midpoint Method.

$$y_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = y_k + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y_k)$$

$$y_{k+h} = y_k + h f\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

(Two function evaluations per step).

Local truncation error.

$$y(t_{k+h}) = y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \frac{h}{2} f\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) + \frac{h^2/2^2}{2} y''\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + o(h^3)$$

→ ①

$$y(t_k) = y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) - \frac{h}{2} f\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) + \frac{(h/2)^2}{2} y''\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) + o(h^3).$$

→ ②

① - ② gives

$$y(t_{k+h}) - y(t_k) = h f\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) + o(h^3).$$

→ ③

Expanding $y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ about t_k , we have

$$y\left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}\right) = y(t_k) + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y(t_k)) + o(h^2)$$

→ ④

(2)

Substituting from (4) into (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{k+1}) - y(t_k) &= hf \left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y(t_k) + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y(t_k)) + o(h^2) \right) \\ &\quad + o(h^3) \\ &= hf \left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y(t_k) + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y(t_k)) \right) + o(h^3) \end{aligned}$$

(this follows from assumption on Lipschitz continuity)

$$hf(t, y + o(h^2)) = hf(t, y) + o(h^3)$$

$$\therefore y_{k+1} = y_k + hf \left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y_k + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y_k) \right)$$

$$\text{or } \frac{y_{k+1} - y_k}{h} = f \left(t_{k+\frac{1}{2}}, y_k + \frac{h}{2} f(t_k, y_k) \right)$$

local truncation error is $O(h^2)$.

(Second order accurate).

Methods Based on Quadrature Formulae.

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t))$$

$$y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Integrating from t to $t+h$ on both sides, we get

$$y(t+h) - y(t) = \int_t^{t+h} f(s, y(s)) ds.$$

The integral on the right can be evaluated

using quadrature formulae.

→ Using trapezoid rule:

$$\int_t^{t+h} f(s, y(s)) ds = \frac{h}{2} [f(t, y(t)) + f(t+h, y(t+h))] + o(h^3)$$

∴ we get the formula

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{h}{2} [f(t_k, y_k) + f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1})]$$

Implicit method! y_{k+1} is on both sides, so you have to solve a nonlinear equation at each step.

Trapezoid Rule in ODE Solvers.

- local truncation error is $O(h^2)$ but

Solving equations at each timestep is expensive.

Heun's Method:

Estimate y_{k+1} using Euler's method and

use this in the RHS of prev. equation.

$$\tilde{y}_{k+1} = y_k + h f(t_k, y_k)$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{h}{2} [f(t_k, y_k) + f(t_{k+1}, \tilde{y}_{k+1})]$$

Runge-kutta Methods.

Heun's method is also called Second Order Runge kutta method.

Alternate derivation.

$$\tilde{y}_{k+\alpha} = y_k + \alpha h f(t_k, y_k)$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \beta h f(t_k, y_k) + \gamma h f(t_{k+\alpha}, \tilde{y}_{k+\alpha})$$

Parameters α, β, γ are selected to cancel as many terms of the Taylor approximation as possible.

By selecting

$$\beta + \gamma = 1$$

$$\alpha\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$$

we can cancel h and h^2 terms.

Methods of this form are called Runge-kutta methods.

Heun's method uses $\alpha = 1$ $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$.

local truncation error = $O(h^2)$.

Runge Kutta Methods.

We can introduce two intermediate values and we can achieve 4th order accuracy.

Classical Runge Kutta Method.

$$g_1 = f(t_k, y_k)$$

$$g_2 = f\left(t_k + \frac{h}{2}, y_k + \frac{h}{2} g_1\right)$$

$$g_3 = f\left(t_k + \frac{h}{2}, y_k + \frac{h}{2} g_2\right)$$

$$g_4 = f(t_k + h, y_k + h g_3)$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{h}{6} [g_1 + 2g_2 + 2g_3 + g_4]$$

If f is independent of y , this is the same as Simpson's rule.

$$y(t+h) - y(t) = \int_t^{t+h} f(s) ds \approx \frac{h}{6} \left[f(t) + 4f\left(t + \frac{h}{2}\right) + f(t+h) \right]$$

Simpson's rule is $O(h^5)$ \therefore this is $O(h^4)$.

Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg Method.

We want to estimate error and adaptively change step size.

By carefully choosing parameters, Fehlberg showed that one needs only 6 function evaluations for a fifth-order accurate method, with an associated fourth order method used to estimate error and vary step size accordingly.

Some more definitions.

One step method: $y_{k+1} = y_k + h \psi(t_k, y_k, h)$.

Defn. A one-step method is consistent if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \psi(t, y, h) = f(t, y).$$

$$\text{or } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{y_{k+1} - y_k}{h} - \psi(t_k, y(t_k), h) \right] = 0$$

$$\text{Since } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_{k+1} - y_k}{h} = f(t_k, y(t_k)).$$

All one step methods above are consistent.

Defn. A one-step method is stable if there is a constant k and step size $h_0 > 0$ such that the difference between two solutions y_n and \tilde{y}_n with initial values y_0 and \tilde{y}_0 , respectively, satisfies

$$|y_n - \tilde{y}_n| \leq k |y_0 - \tilde{y}_0|.$$

Whenever $h \leq h_0$ and $nh \leq T - t_0$.

(9)

If $\psi(t, y, h)$ is Lipschitz continuous in y ,
 (There exists a constant L such that).

$$|\psi(t, y, h) - \psi(t, \tilde{y}, h)| \leq L \|y - \tilde{y}\|$$

Then

$y_{k+1} = y_k + h \psi(t_k, y_k, h)$ is stable.

Multistep Methods: Adams-Bashforth and
 Adams-Moulton Methods.

$$y(t_{k+1}) = y(t) + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(s, y(s)) ds.$$

Use computed values $y_k, y_{k-1}, \dots, y_{k-m+1}$
 (prev m values).

We can fit $P_{m-1}(s)$ to be the $(m-1)$ degree

interpolant for $f(t_k, y_k), f(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1}), \dots, f(t_{k-m+1}, y_{k-m+1})$.

The Lagrange form of the interpolant is

$$P_{m-1}(s) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq l}}^{m-1} \frac{s - t_{k-j}}{t_{k-l} - t_{k-j}} \right) f(t_{k-l}, y_{k-l})$$

Replace $f(s, y(s))$ in the integral by $P_{m-1}(s)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{k+1} &= y_k + \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} P_{m-1}(s) ds \\
 &= y_k + h \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} b_l f(t_{k-l}, y_{k-l}) \\
 b_l &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq l}}^{m-1} \frac{s - t_{k-j}}{t_{k-l} - t_{k-j}} \right) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the m -step Adams Bashforth method.

To start the process, $y_0 \dots y_m$ are computed using one-step methods.

$m=1$ gives the Euler method.

$$\begin{aligned}
 m=2 : \quad y_{k+1} &= y_k + h [b_0 f(t_k, y_k) + b_1 f(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1})] \\
 b_0 &= \frac{3}{2} \quad b_1 = -\frac{1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + h \left[\frac{3}{2} f(t_k, y_k) - \frac{1}{2} f(t_{k-1}, y_{k-1}) \right].$$

The error in approximating the integral is $O(h^{m+1})$

\therefore local truncation error is $O(h^m)$.

Adams-Moulton methods are explicit.

Use polynomial q_m to interpolate

$f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1}), f(t_k, y_k), \dots, f(t_{k-m+1}, y_{k-m+1})$.

Use q_m instead of f in the integral.

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + h \sum_{l=0}^m c_l f(t_{k+1-l}, y_{k+1-l})$$

$$c_l = \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq l}}^m \frac{s - t_{k+1-j}}{t_{k+1-l} - t_{k+1-j}} \right) ds.$$

For $m=0$, we set

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + h f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1}).$$

Backward Euler method

$m=1$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{h}{2} [f(t_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) + f(t_k, y_k)]$$

The local truncation error of Adams-Moulton method

is $O(h^{m+1})$.