Lecture 28: DDH Assumption, Key Agreement, and ElGamal Encryption

Problem Statement

1. The objective of this lecture is to build key agreement and public-key encryption protocols from the Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) assumption
2. Moreover, understand the relationship between the DDH assumption and other computational hardness assumptions like the discrete log assumption and Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) assumption

Decisional Diffie-Hellman Assumption

1. Consider a group $(G, \times)$ with generator g and order n; i.e., $g^n = e$, the identity and $\{g^1, g^2, \ldots, g^n = e\} = G$
2. The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) assumption states that it is computationally infeasible to have a non-trivial advantage in predicting whether the given sample $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in G^3$ was sampled from the distribution (g^a, g^b, g^r), where $a, b, r \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, or (g^a, g^b, g^{ab}), where $a, b \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$
3. Intuitively, given (g^a, g^b), the element g^{ab} is computationally indistinguishable from the random g^r

Diffie-Hellman Key Agreement

1. Alice samples $a \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and sends $A := g^a$ to Bob
2. Bob samples $b \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and sends $B := g^b$ to Alice
3. Alice computes $k := B^a$ and Bob also computes $k := A^b$

- Given (g^a, g^b), for an eavesdropper, the distribution of the key $k = g^{ab}$ seems indistinguishable from the random element g^r
- Alice and Bob can perform steps 1 and 2 simultaneously

ElGamal Encryption Scheme

1. Any two-message key agreement protocol can be converted into a public-key encryption scheme
2. Gen(): Return a public key $\mathsf{pk} = A := g^a$ and a secret key $\mathsf{sk} = a$
3. $\mathsf{Enc}_{\mathsf{pk}}(m)$: Compute $B := g^b$ and $c := m \cdot A^b$. The ciphertext is (B, c)
4. $\mathsf{Dec}_{\mathsf{sk}}(\widetilde{B}, \widetilde{c})$: Compute $\widetilde{m} / \left(\widetilde{B}\right)^a$, where $\mathsf{sk} = a$.

Groups where DDH holds

1. The subgroup of k-th residues modulo a prime $p = k \cdot q + 1$, where q is also a prime. When $k = 2$, it is quadratic residues modulo a safe prime
2. For a safe prime $p = 2 \cdot q + 1$, the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}_p^*/\{\pm 1\}$
3. A prime-order elliptic curve over a prime field (with some additional technical restrictions)
4. A Jacobian of a hyper-elliptic curve over a prime field (with some additional technical restrictions)

DDH Assumption: Formal Definition

Security Game for DDH.

1. The honest challenge samples a bit $u \in_R \{0,1\}$
2. If $u = 0$, then it samples (α, β, γ) from the distribution (g^a, g^b, g^{ab}), where $a, b \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. If $u = 1$, then it samples (α, β, γ) from the distribution (g^a, g^b, g^r), where $a, b, r \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$
3. The honest challenge sends (α, β, γ) to the adversary
4. Adversary replies back with $\widetilde{u} \in \{0,1\}$ (its guess of the bit u)
5. The adversary wins the game if (and only if) $u = \widetilde{u}$.
6. The DDH assumption states that any computationally efficient adversary only has a small (or, negligible) advantage in predicting the bit u

Relation with Other Assumptions: Discrete Log

1. Suppose $(G, \times)$ be a group generated by g, and *discrete log* is easy to compute. That is, given $X := g^x$ as input, it is easy to compute $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, |X|\}$ (say, using an algorithm $\mathcal{A}$)
2. Using such an algorithm, it is easy to construct a DDH adversary and break that assumption.
    1. Our adversary receives (α, β, γ) from the honest challenger
    2. Feeds α as input to the algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ and recovers a
    3. Compute $\delta := \beta^a$
    4. If $\gamma = \delta$, set $\widetilde{u} = 0$; otherwise, set $\widetilde{u} = 1$
3. Food for thought: Compute the advantage of our adversary
4. The contrapositive of this statement is that if DDH is hard for a group, then DL is also hard for that group

Attack using Legendre Symbol

1. Suppose there is an algorithm that, given $X = g^x$ as input, can determine whether x is even or not
2. Note that when $\gamma = g^{ab}$, the exponent ab is even with probability $3/4$
3. However, when $\gamma = g^r$, the exponent r is even with probability $1/2$
4. So, using the algorithm mentioned above, we can construct an adversary who has a constant advantage in predicting u
5. Food for thought: Construct this adversary and compute its distinguishing advantage

Relation with Other Assumptions: Computational Diffie-Hellman

1. The computational Diffie-Hellman assumption (CDH) states that given (g^a, g^b), where $a, b \in_R \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, it is computationally inefficient to compute g^{ab}
2. Note that if CDH is easy in a group, there is an algorithm to compute g^{ab} from (g^a, g^b). In this group, using this algorithm, an adversary can show that DDH is easy
3. The contrapositive of this statement is that if DDH is hard for a group, then CDH is also hard for that group