

Lecture 12: Efficient Algorithms

Notation Convention

- In today's lecture, capital alphabets, for example, X , represent a natural number
- Further, the number of bits needed to present the number X is denoted by the corresponding small number x

Length of Representation

- Note that the smallest integer X that requires n bits for binary representation has the binary representation $1 \overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{(n-1)\text{-times}}$. This represents the number $X = 2^{n-1}$.
- Note that the largest integer X that can be expressed using n bits has binary representation $\overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{n\text{-times}}$. This represents the number $X = 2^n - 1$.
- From these two observations, we can conclude that the number of bits needed to represent any number X is given by $x = \lceil \lg(X + 1) \rceil$
- Intuitive Summary: The number X requires $x = \lg X$ bits for its representation

Efficiency

- An efficient algorithm is an algorithm whose running time is polynomial in the size of the input.
- For example, suppose an algorithm takes as input a prime P that needs $p = 1000$ bits to represent it. Note that the prime P is at least $2^{1000-1} = 2^{999}$, which is humongous (more than the number of atoms in the universe). Our algorithm's running time should be polynomial in $p = 1000$, rather than the number $P \geq 2^{999}$.
- We shall assume that all inputs are already provided in the binary representation

- Suppose we are given two numbers A and B . Our objective is to generate the binary representation of the sum of these two numbers.
- Note that A needs $a = \lceil \lg(A + 1) \rceil$ and B needs $b = \lceil \lg(B + 1) \rceil$ bits for representation

- **Naive Attempt.**

Add(A, B):

- sum = A
- For $i = 1$ to B :
 - sum+ = 1
- Return sum

- Note that the inner loop runs B times, which is at least 2^{b-1} , i.e., exponential in the input size. So, this algorithm is inefficient.

- **Efficient Addition Algorithm.**

Add(A, B):

- $c = \max\{a, b\}$, carry = 0
- For $i = 0$ to $c - 1$:
 - $C_i = A_i + B_i + \text{carry}$
 - If $C_i \geq 2$:
 - carry = 1
 - $C_i = C_i \% 2$
 - Else: carry = 0
- If carry == 1:
 - $c++ = 1$
 - $C_{c-1} = 1$
- Return $C_{c-1}C_{c-2} \dots C_1C_0$

- The running time of this algorithm is $O(a + b)$, where $a = \log A$ and $b = \log B$. This algorithm is efficient!

Multiplication I

- Suppose we are given two numbers A and B . Our objective is to generate the binary representation of the product of these two numbers.
- Our algorithm should have running time polynomial in $a = \lceil \lg(A + 1) \rceil$ and $b = \lceil \lg(B + 1) \rceil$

- **Naive Attempt.**

Multiply(A, B):

- product = 1
- For $i = 1$ to B :
 - product+ = A
- Return product

- Note that the inner loop runs B times, which is at least 2^{b-1} , i.e., exponential in the input size. So, this algorithm is inefficient.

- **Efficient Addition Algorithm.**

Multiply(A, B):

- $to_add = A$
 - $remains = B$
 - $product = 0$
 - While $remains > 0$:
 - If $remains \& 1 = 1$: $product += to_add$
 - $to_add = to_add \ll 1$
 - $remains = remains \gg 1$
 - Return $product$
- The running time of this algorithm is $O((a + b)^2)$, where $a = \log A$ and $b = \log B$. This algorithm is efficient!

- **Additional Reading.** Read Fast Fourier Transform for even faster multiplication algorithms!

- Students are encouraged to write the pseudocode of an efficient division algorithm that takes as input integers A and B and outputs integers M and R such that
 - 1 $B = M \cdot A + R$, and
 - 2 $R \in \{0, \dots, A - 1\}$

Finding Greatest Common Divisor I

- Our objective is to find the greatest common divisor G of two input integers A and B
- Note that if we iterate over all integers $\{1, \dots, A\}$ to find the largest integer that divides B , then this algorithm has a loop that runs A times, that is, it is exponential in the input length
- So, we use Euclid's GCD algorithm. Let R be the remainder of dividing B by A . If $R = 0$, then A is the GCD of A and B . Otherwise, it recursively returns the $\text{gcd}(R, A)$. This algorithm is based on the observation that

$$\text{gcd}(A, B) = \text{gcd}(R, A)$$

Students are encouraged to prove this statement.

- **Euclid's GCD Algorithm.**

GCD(A, B)

- $R = B \% A$
- While $R > 0$:
 - $B = A$
 - $A = R$
 - $R = B \% A$
- Return A

- **Exercise.** Prove that this is an efficient algorithm.

Generate n -bit Random Number

- The following code generates a random number in the range $[2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$

Random(n):

- $C = 1$
- For $i = 1$ to $(n - 1)$:
 - $r \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$
 - $C = (C \ll 1) | r$

- It is easy to see that this is an efficient algorithm

Generate a Random n -bit Prime I

- **Assume** that there exists an efficient algorithm $\text{Is_Prime}(N)$ that tests whether the integer N is a prime or not. In the future, we shall see one such algorithm.
- Consider the following code

```
Prime( $n$ ):  
  • While true :  
    •  $P = \text{Random}(n)$   
    • If  $\text{Is\_Prime}(P)$  : Return  $P$ 
```

- The efficiency of the above algorithm depends on the number of times the while-loop runs, which depends on the number of primes in the range $[2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$

Generate a Random n -bit Prime II

- We shall rely on the density of prime numbers to understand the running time of the algorithm mentioned above

Theorem (Prime Number Theorem)

There are (roughly) $N/\log N$ prime numbers $< N$

- So, there are roughly $2^n/n$ prime numbers $< 2^n$. Similarly, there are roughly $2^{n-1}/(n-1)$ prime numbers $< 2^{n-1}$. So, in the range $[2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$, the number of primes is (roughly)

$$\frac{2^n}{n} - \frac{2^{n-1}}{n-1} = 2^{n-1} \left(\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \approx 2^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}$$

- The range $[2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1]$ has a total of 2^{n-1} numbers.

Generate a Random n -bit Prime III

- So, the probability that a random number picked from this range is a prime number is (roughly)

$$\frac{2^{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{n}$$

- Intuitively, if we run the inner-loop n times, then we expect to encounter one prime number. We shall make this more formal in the next class.
- I want to emphasize that if the density of the primes was not $1/\text{poly}(n)$, then the algorithm presented above will not be efficient. We are extremely fortunate that primes are so dense!