

## Lecture 24b: Signatures on Arbitrary-length Messages

# Problem Statement

- Suppose we are given a  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$  digital signature scheme for  $B$ -bit messages (i.e., messages in  $\{0, 1\}^B$ ), for some fixed  $B \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall refer to this signature scheme as the basic signature scheme
- Given this signature scheme  $(\text{Gen}^*, \text{Sign}^*, \text{Ver}^*)$  for  $B$ -bit messages, construct a signature scheme for arbitrary-length messages (i.e., messages in  $\{0, 1\}^*$ )

# First Attempt

- Given a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we use standard padding technique to make its length a multiple of  $B$  and, then, break it into  $B$ -bit blocks  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^B$
- Our first strategy is to sign the blocks  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha$  using the basic signature scheme. Suppose the signatures of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha$  are, respectively,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha$
- Our first attempt generates the signature of the message  $m \equiv (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$

# Vulnerability: Prefix Attacks

- Suppose we are given the signature of the message  $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$
- We can generate the signature of the message  $m' = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_i)$  as  $\sigma' = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_i)$ , for any  $1 \leq i < \alpha$
- **Solution.** We need to tie the “number of the blocks” into the message being signed by the basic scheme

## Second Attempt

- Given a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we use standard padding technique to make its length a multiple of  $B/2$  and, then, break it into  $B/2$ -bit blocks  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{B/2}$
- Our second strategy is to sign the blocks  $(\alpha \| m_1), (\alpha \| m_2), \dots, (\alpha \| m_\alpha)$  using the basic signature scheme. We clarify that  $(\alpha \| m_i)$  is the concatenation of (a)  $B/2$ -bit representation of the number of total blocks  $\alpha$ , and (b) the  $B/2$ -bit message  $m_i$ . Suppose the signatures are, respectively,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha$
- Our second attempt generates the signature of the message  $m \equiv (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$

# Vulnerability: Permutation Attacks

- Suppose we are given the signature of the message  $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$
- We can generate the signature of the message  $m' = (m_2, m_1, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as  $\sigma' = (\sigma_2, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$
- In general, we can permute the message blocks of  $m$  and generate the signature of the permuted message
- **Solution.** We need to tie the “position of the message block” into the message being signed by the basic scheme

## Third Attempt

- Given a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we use standard padding technique to make its length a multiple of  $B/3$  and, then, break it into  $B/3$ -bit blocks  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{B/3}$
- Our second strategy is to sign the blocks  $(\alpha \| 1 \| m_1), (\alpha \| 2 \| m_2), \dots, (\alpha \| \alpha \| m_\alpha)$  using the basic signature scheme. We clarify that  $(\alpha \| m_i)$  is the concatenation of (a)  $B/3$ -bit representation of the number of total blocks  $\alpha$ , (b)  $B/3$ -bit representation of the position  $i$ , and (c) the  $B/3$ -bit message  $m_i$ . Suppose the signatures are, respectively,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha$
- Our third attempt generates the signature of the message  $m \equiv (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$

# Vulnerability: Splicing Attacks

- Suppose we are given the signature of the message  $m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$
- Suppose we are given the signature of another message (of the same number of blocks)  $m' = (m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma' = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \dots, \sigma'_\alpha)$
- We can generate the signature of the message  $m'' = (m'_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as  $\sigma'' = (\sigma'_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$
- In general, we can splice the blocks of  $m$  and  $m'$  and generate the message  $m''$  and forge the signature on  $m''$
- **Solution.** We need to “tie together all blocks of a particular message” into the message being signed by the basic scheme



## Fourth Attempt

- Given a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , we use standard padding technique to make its length a multiple of  $B/4$  and, then, break it into  $B/4$ -bit blocks  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{B/4}$
- Pick a random string  $s \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^{B/4}$
- Our second strategy is to sign the blocks  $(\alpha \| 1 \| s \| m_1), (\alpha \| 2 \| s \| m_2), \dots, (\alpha \| \alpha \| s \| m_\alpha)$  using the basic signature scheme. We clarify that  $(\alpha \| m_i)$  is the concatenation of (a)  $B/4$ -bit representation of the number of total blocks  $\alpha$ , (b)  $B/4$ -bit representation of the position  $i$ , (c) the random bit string  $s$ , and (d) the  $B/4$ -bit message  $m_i$ . Suppose the signatures are, respectively,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha$
- Our fourth attempt generates the signature of the message  $m \equiv (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_\alpha)$  as the signature  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_\alpha)$ .
- The idea is that all blocks of a message shall have the same random bit-string  $s$ . Furthermore, the bitstring corresponding to two messages shall be different with high probability (using the Birthday bound)

# Security of the Fourth Attempt

- The fourth attempt ensures that prefix, permutation, and splicing attacks cannot forge signatures
- In fact, this scheme is secure against all forging strategies (not just the three forging strategies mentioned above). In a higher-level course, we can prove this stronger result

It is left as an exercise to write the algorithms  $(\text{Gen}^*, \text{Sign}^*, \text{Ver}^*)$  using the algorithms  $(\text{Gen}, \text{Sign}, \text{Ver})$