Attention Is All You Need:

Deriving the Seminal Transformer Architecture from First Principles

J. Setpal

September 3, 2024



The Transformer Architecture

1/14

Some context-relevant terms:

a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$

臣

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the final layer.

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the final layer.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the *final layer*.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$
- d. Matrix Multiplication: For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$, $Z = XY \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times c} \ s.t. \ z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} a_{ik} b_{kj}$

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the *final layer*.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$
- d. Matrix Multiplication: For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$, $Z = XY \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times c} \ s.t. \ z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} a_{ik} b_{kj}$
- e. **Activation:** Non-linear function over the output of matrix multiplication.

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the *final layer*.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$
- d. Matrix Multiplication: For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$, $Z = XY \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times c} \ s.t. \ z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} a_{ik} b_{kj}$
- e. **Activation:** Non-linear function over the output of matrix multiplication.
- f. **Gradient:** $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w)$, derivative of $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^a \to \mathbb{R}$

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the *final layer*.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$
- d. Matrix Multiplication: For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$, $Z = XY \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times c} \ s.t. \ z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} a_{ik} b_{kj}$
- e. **Activation:** Non-linear function over the output of matrix multiplication.
- f. **Gradient:** $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w)$, derivative of $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^a \to \mathbb{R}$
- g. Latent Vector: $h^{(\ell)}$, intermediary output from within a neural network.

- a. **Neuron:** The unit of a nueral network $y = \sigma(XW)$
- b. Logit: Pre-activation scores for the *final layer*.
- c. Dot / Inner Product: $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i$
- d. Matrix Multiplication: For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times b}$, $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times c}$, $Z = XY \in \mathbb{R}^{a \times c} \ s.t. \ z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{b} a_{ik} b_{kj}$
- e. **Activation:** Non-linear function over the output of matrix multiplication.
- f. **Gradient:** $\nabla_w \mathcal{L}(w)$, derivative of $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^a \to \mathbb{R}$
- g. Latent Vector: $h^{(\ell)}$, intermediary output from within a neural network.
- h. **Embedding:** A look-up table that translates categorical values (words) to vectors.

- a. I had a picnic by the river <u>bank</u> yesterday.
- b. I am NOT going to rob a <u>bank</u> tomorrow at 8:30am ET.

- a. I had a picnic by the river <u>bank</u> yesterday.
- b. I am NOT going to rob a <u>bank</u> tomorrow at 8:30am ET.

Insight: Context is important.

- a. I had a picnic by the river <u>bank</u> yesterday.
- b. I am NOT going to rob a <u>bank</u> tomorrow at 8:30am ET. **Insight:** Context is important.

n-gram models use the following (Markov) assumption:

$$p\left(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1};\theta\right) \approx p\left(x_t|x_{t-1};\theta\right) \tag{1}$$

- a. I had a picnic by the river <u>bank</u> yesterday.
- b. I am NOT going to rob a <u>bank</u> tomorrow at 8:30am ET. **Insight:** Context is important.

n-gram models use the following (Markov) assumption:

$$p\left(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1};\theta\right) \approx p\left(x_t|x_{t-1};\theta\right) \tag{1}$$

This is **incorrect**.

- a. I had a picnic by the river <u>bank</u> yesterday.
- b. I am NOT going to rob a <u>bank</u> tomorrow at 8:30am ET. **Insight:** Context is important.

n-gram models use the following (Markov) assumption:

$$p\left(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1};\theta\right) \approx p\left(x_t|x_{t-1};\theta\right)$$
(1)

This is **incorrect**. Context is important!

Q: So, why do *n*-gram models use the Markov assumption?

Э

Q: Can we do better?

Q: Can we do better?

A: Yes. Enter, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):



Q: Can we do better?

A: Yes. Enter, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):



Here, a hidden representation $a^{\langle \ell \rangle}$ is propogated, encoding relevant context.

4/14

Q: Can we do better?

A: Yes. Enter, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):



Here, a hidden representation $a^{\langle \ell \rangle}$ is propogated, encoding relevant context.

Caveat:
$$a^{\langle \ell \rangle} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
, with *fixed d*.

Q: Can we do better?

A: Yes. Enter, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs):



Here, a hidden representation $a^{\langle \ell \rangle}$ is propogated, encoding relevant context.

Caveat: $a^{\langle \ell \rangle} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with *fixed d*. This mitigates capability for long-term memory & recollection.

Now, Let's Pay Attention

One way to improve this is by incorporating attention into RNNs.¹

¹https://distill.pub/2016/augmented-rnns/#attentional-interfaces < □ > < □ > < ⊡ > < ≧ > < ≧ > □ ≥ < ♡ Q <

5/14

One way to improve this is by incorporating attention into RNNs.¹

Q: Well, what does it mean to "incorporate attention"?

¹https://distill.pub/2016/augmented-rnns/#attentional-interfaces ← □ ト ← □ ト ← ⊇ ト ← ⊇ ト → ⊇ → ○ へ

Now, Let's Pay Attention

One way to improve this is by incorporating attention into RNNs.¹

Q: Well, what does it mean to "incorporate attention"? **A:** For latent vectors $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $r = \sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i \to \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \ell_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$, $w_i \ge \forall i$

https://distill.pub/2016/augmented-rnns/#attentional-interfaces < 🗆 > < 🗇 > < 🖹 > < 🖹 > 🖉 🔊 🔍

Now, Let's Pay Attention

One way to improve this is by incorporating attention into RNNs.¹

Q: Well, what does it mean to "incorporate attention"? **A:** For latent vectors $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^N$, $r = \sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i \to \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \ell_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^N w_i = 1$, $w_i \ge \forall i$



https://distill.pub/2016/augmented-rnns/#attentional-interfaces <</pre>

The Transformer Architecture

Self-Attention makes attention self-referential, by effectively creating a **trainable database**.

Э

nge

Self-Attention makes attention self-referential, by effectively creating a **trainable database**.

We query this database to extract important information from our input sequence. For $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$,

$$W_Q, W_K, W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in} \times d_{out}}$$
⁽²⁾

$$K = XW_K, Q = XW_Q, V = XW_V \tag{3}$$

(4)

(5)

Self-Attention makes attention self-referential, by effectively creating a **trainable database**.

We query this database to extract important information from our input sequence. For $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$,

$$W_Q, W_K, W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in} \times d_{out}}$$
 (2)

$$K = XW_K, Q = XW_Q, V = XW_V \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha_i = \sigma_{softmax} \left(\frac{q_i k_i^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \tag{4}$$

(5)

Self-Attention makes attention self-referential, by effectively creating a **trainable database**.

We query this database to extract important information from our input sequence. For $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$,

$$W_Q, W_K, W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in} \times d_{out}}$$
(2)

$$K = XW_K, Q = XW_Q, V = XW_V \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha_i = \sigma_{softmax} \left(\frac{q_i k_i^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i v_i \tag{5}$$

Self-Attention makes attention self-referential, by effectively creating a **trainable database**.

We query this database to extract important information from our input sequence. For $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^t$,

$$W_Q, W_K, W_V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{in} \times d_{out}}$$
(2)

$$K = XW_K, Q = XW_Q, V = XW_V \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha_i = \sigma_{softmax} \left(\frac{q_i k_i^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \tag{4}$$

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i v_i \tag{5}$$

Where q_i , k_i , v_i are each independently computed latent matrices.

Self-Attention
$$(Q, K, V) = \left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_{out}}}\right)V$$
 (6)

6/14

Positional Encoding

A consequence of this setup, howeveer is that we are not considering the order of the tokens anymore. It is **permutation invariant**.

Positional Encoding

A consequence of this setup, howeveer is that we are not considering the order of the tokens anymore. It is **permutation invariant**.

To resolve this, we add positional encodings to each word embedding:

$$PE_{(pos,2i)} = \sin(pos/1E4^{2i/d_{model}})$$
⁽⁷⁾

$$PE_{(pos,2i+1)} = \sin(pos/1E4^{2i/d_{model}})$$
(8)



Each block of self-attention constitutes it's own head.

Each block of self-attention constitutes it's own head.

Intuitively, a different set of information may be desired from the same input.

e.g: NER, Sentence-Structure Decomposition, POS tagging – any valuable information that can inform the output.

Each block of self-attention constitutes it's own head.

Intuitively, a different set of information may be desired from the same input.

e.g: NER, Sentence-Structure Decomposition, POS tagging – any valuable information that can inform the output.

Therefore, we instantiate *multiple* heads within each layer, and concatenate to construct a final output representation.

$$MHA = \text{Concat}(\{h_i\}_{i=1}^H)W_O$$
(9)



seq	= sequence length
d_{model}	= size of the embedding vector
h	= number of heads

 $d_{k} = d_{v}$ = d_{model} / h

< □ > < 同 >

E

990



seq	= sequence length
d _{model}	= size of the embedding vector
h	= number of heads

 $d_{k} = d_{v}$ = d_{model} / h 54

< □ > < 同 >

E

990

9/14



= sequence length seq = size of the embedding vector dmode

= number of heads h

= d_{model} / h $d_{k} = d_{u}$

< □ > < 同 >

E

990



 $\exists \rightarrow$

< □ > < 同 >

E

990

9/14



 $\exists \rightarrow$

< □ > < 同 >

E

990

9/14



September 3, 2024

 $\exists \rightarrow$

< □ > < 同 >

E



∃ >

Image: Image:

9/14

E



The Transformer Architecture

Thanks to attention, we now have an updated representation of our input. We now need to perform operations on this contextualized input to make inferences about our actual objective.

Thanks to attention, we now have an updated representation of our input. We now need to perform operations on this contextualized input to make inferences about our actual objective.

For this, a two-layer MLP is instantiated that expands and consequently contracts the input dimension.

$$FFN(x) = \sigma_{relu}(xW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2 \tag{10}$$

The original paper uses a factor of 4.



Deep neural architectures struggle with vanishing gradients, when trained at scale.



Deep neural architectures struggle with vanishing gradients, when trained at scale.

The approach used universally is to add "skip connections" to maintain a short gradient path, and mitigate this concern.



Deep neural architectures struggle with vanishing gradients, when trained at scale.

The approach used universally is to add "skip connections" to maintain a short gradient path, and mitigate this concern.

A result of this setup is that we can interpret each attention head and MLP as "reading from" and "writing to" a residual stream.

11/14



Deep neural architectures struggle with vanishing gradients, when trained at scale.

The approach used universally is to add "skip connections" to maintain a short gradient path, and mitigate this concern.

A result of this setup is that we can interpret each attention head and MLP as "reading from" and "writing to" a residual stream.

In addition, we also perform layer normalization over the latent vectors before MLP & self-attention.

11/14

The output of the model during a single forward pass is a token.

The output of the model during a single forward pass is a token. This token is then added to the context window, and the forward pass is run once again. This creates the final output, as a sentence.

The output of the model during a single forward pass is a token. This token is then added to the context window, and the forward pass is run once again. This creates the final output, as a sentence.

For training, we exploit GPU parallelism, since each token sequence $\{x_i\}_{t=1}^{T}$ contains T - 1 targets.

$$p(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}) = x_t \ \forall t \in \{2, \dots, T\}$$
(11)

The output of the model during a single forward pass is a token. This token is then added to the context window, and the forward pass is run once again. This creates the final output, as a sentence.

For training, we exploit GPU parallelism, since each token sequence $\{x_i\}_{t=1}^{T}$ contains T - 1 targets.

$$p(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}) = x_t \ \forall t \in \{2, \dots, T\}$$
(11)

However, a consequence of this is that attention can look into the future.

The output of the model during a single forward pass is a token. This token is then added to the context window, and the forward pass is run once again. This creates the final output, as a sentence.

For training, we exploit GPU parallelism, since each token sequence $\{x_i\}_{t=1}^{T}$ contains T - 1 targets.

$$p(x_t|\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{t-1}) = x_t \ \forall t \in \{2, \dots, T\}$$
(11)

However, a consequence of this is that attention can *look into the future*. We prevent this by applying a causal mask:



If you can view this screen, I am making a mistake.

Э

Have an awesome rest of your day!

Slides: https://cs.purdue.edu/homes/jsetpal/slides/transformer.pdf