# DATA LINK COMMUNICATION: TECHNOLOGY AND ACCESS CONTROL

## Point-to-point communication

Already seen digital/analog transmission of digital data including coding and error detection.

## Reliable transmission

Principal methodology: ARQ (Automatic Repeat reQuest) or PAR (Positive Acknowledgment with Retransmission) or backward error correction (BEC). Three components:

- acknowledgment (ACK)
- timeout
- retransmit



## Stop-and-wait

Assumption: Frame is "lost" due to corruption; discarded by NIC after error detection.



Issue of RTT (Round-Trip Time) and timer management:

- what is proper value of timer?
- RTT estimation
- easier for single link than path in an internetwork
- largely independent of queueing effect

More serious problem: Not keeping the pipe full.

 $\longrightarrow$  bandwidth-delay product

Literally, volume of data in on the link.

To achieve high utilization, want to keep volume of traffic flowing close to the bandwidth limit.

**Example:** Link BW 1.5 Mbps, 45 ms RTT; bandwidthdelay product = 1.5 Mbps × 45 ms = 67.5 kb ≈ 8 kB.

If frame size 1 kB, then (effective) throughput is  $1024 \times 8/0.045 = 182$  kbps; utilization is only 0.125.

Solution: Other things being equal, must increase frame size.

- straightforward increase of frame size is problematic; why?
- send blocks of data, i.e., sequence of frames
- creates management problem

## Sliding window protocol



- SWS: Send Window Size
- RWS: Receiver Window Size
- LAR: Last ACK Received
- $\bullet$  LFS: Last Frame Sent
- NFE: Next Frame Expected
- $\bullet$  LFA: Last Frame Acceptable

Assign sequence number (SeqNum) to individual frames.

Maintain invariants:

- $LFS LAR + 1 \le SWS$
- $LFA NFE + 1 \le RWS$

Sender: Update LAR, send more frames, then update LFS.

Receiver: Cumulative ACK; let SeqNumToAck denote the largest sequence number not yet acknowledged.

- NFE  $\leftarrow$  SeqNumToAck + 1
- LFA  $\leftarrow$  SeqNumToAck + RWS

ACK variants:

- piggyback
- negative ACKs
- $\bullet$  selective ACKs

Sequence number wrap-around problem:

SWS < (MaxSeqNum + 1)/2.

 $\rightarrow$  similar to stop-and-wait (binary)

Further optimization/control variables in end-to-end case?

Why can packets still be lost given that link layer achieves reliability?

Link-based flow/congestion control revival (H. T. Kung). Achieve flow control/multiplexing (buffer sharing)/reliability at link level.

### Multi-access communication

Ethernet and CSMA/CD

 $\longrightarrow$  copper, optical fiber

Types:

- 10Base2 (ThinNet): coax, segment length 200 m, 30 nodes/segment
- 10Base5 (ThickNet): coax, segment length 500 m, 100 nodes/segment
- 10Base-T: twisted pair, segment length 100 m, 1024 nodes/segment
- 10Base-F: fiber, segment length 2000 m, 1024 nodes/segment
- 100Base-T (Fast Ethernet): category 5 UTP, fiber (also 100VG-AnyLAN)
- Gigabit Ethernet: fiber, category 5 UTP

#### Connectivity example:



- $\longrightarrow$  bus/star configuration
- $\longrightarrow$  multihomed/singlehomed
- $\longrightarrow$  unique Ethernet address per NIC

Segments can be hooked up by repeaters, bridges, gateways, (hub) switches.

- maximum of 2 (4 for IEEE 802.3) repeaters between two hosts; 1500 m
- for Fast Ethernet, 2 repeater hops

High-bandwidth Ethernets have *shorter* network diameter.

- $\bullet$  about 2500 m for 10 Mbps Ethernet
- about 200 m for 100 Mbps Ethernet
- $\bullet$  even shorter for 1 Gbps Ethernet



## IEEE 802.3 Ethernet frame:





Encoding: Manchester

Addressing:

- 48 bit unique address
- point-to-point
- broadcast (all 1's)

Receiver: Ethernet adaptor accepts frames with relevant address.

- accepts only own frame address
- accepts all frames (promiscuous mode)

MAC (Medium Access Control): CSMA/CD

- CS (Carrier Sense): Can detect if some other node is using the link.
- *MA (Multiple Access)*: Many nodes are allowed to simultaneously access the link.
- CD (Collision Detection): Can detect if simultaneous access has occured (corrupted signal).



Ethernet is 1-persistent MA scheme; more generally, p-persistent where 0 .

Worst-case collision scenario:



- sender (worst case) needs to wait  $2\tau$  sec before detecting collision
- for 2500 m length, 51.2  $\mu$ s round-trip time (2 $\tau$ )
- enforce 51.2  $\mu$ s slot (jam) time
- at 10 Mbps, 512 bits; i.e., minimum frame size

Hence, upon collision detection:

• Make sure to transmit at least 512 bits

 $\longrightarrow$  2 × bandwidth-delay product

 $\longrightarrow 6 + 6 + 2 + 46 + 4 = 64$  B = 512 bits

- exponential backoff; wait for  $0 \le X \le 51.2 \ \mu s$  before next attempt
- on *i*'th collision, wait for  $0 \le X \le (2^i 1)51.2 \ \mu s$ before next attempt,  $i \le 16$
- $X = 0 \ \mu s, 51.2 \ \mu s, 2 \times 51.2 \ \mu s, 3 \times 51.2 \ \mu s, \dots$

 $\longrightarrow$  distributed bus arbitration mechanism