

Problem 1 (k -wise uniformity vs almost k -wise uniformity) [5 pts]. In this problem we will derive a general (but often not optimal) method to transfer every result about k -wise uniformity into one about ε -almost k -wise uniformity, by bounding their statistical distance.

In the questions below, let $p: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a ε -almost k -wise uniform distribution for some $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$. We will construct another distribution q such that q is k -wise uniform and $d_{\text{TV}}(p, q)$ is small.

1. [1 pt]. Let $S \subseteq [n]$ be a set of indices such that $1 \leq |S| \leq k$. Assume that $\widehat{p}(S) \geq 0$, show that for some properly chosen $\alpha \in [0, 2\varepsilon]$, the following function $p_{\alpha, S}: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$p_{\alpha, S}(x) = (1 - \alpha) \cdot p(x) + \alpha \cdot 2^{-n}(1 - \chi_S(x))$$

is a distribution over $\{\pm 1\}^n$ and $\widehat{p_{\alpha, S}}(S) = 0$.

Hint. Use the fact that the Fourier transformation is linear, and $|\widehat{p}(S)| \leq 2^{1-n}\varepsilon$ as we showed in class.

2. [1 pt]. Prove that for the function $p_{\alpha, S}$ that we chose above, it holds that

$$|\widehat{p_{\alpha, S}}(T)| \leq |\widehat{p}(T)|$$

for all $T \subseteq [n]$.

3. [2 pt]. Use the claim in the above two questions to conclude that there exists a k -wise uniform distribution q such that $d_{\text{TV}}(p, q) \leq 2n^k \varepsilon$.
4. [1 pt]. In the $2k$ -wise independent Chebyshev's inequality, if instead we have $X_1, \dots, X_n \in [0, 1]$ being ε -almost $2k$ -wise independent, show that for $X = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$,

$$\Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \delta] \leq \left(\frac{k^2}{n\delta^2} \right)^k + \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^{2k}}.$$

Hint. Try to directly follow the proof for $2k$ -wise independent Chebyshev from class. In fact, in the regime where the inequality is meaningful ($\delta > 1/\sqrt{n}$), even for $2k$ -wise uniform random variables, this is strictly better than the bound we would have obtained using the result from question 3.

Problem 2 (the longest distance in the world) [5 pts]. Suppose that Alice and Bob live on the unit sphere of an n -dimensional Euclidean space. Their locations are represented by unit vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. They want to know if they are really close, say $\|a - b\|_2 \leq 0.1$, or really far away, say $\|a - b\|_2 \geq 1$, by using as little communication as possible.

Their plan is as follows: Alice first draws a uniformly random vector $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, computes $\langle a, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i$, and send both x and $\langle a, x \rangle$ (ignoring the accuracy issue here) to Bob. Bob then computes $\langle b, x \rangle$ and checks how close it is to $\langle a, x \rangle$.

1. [1 pt]. Show that $\mathbb{E}_x [(\langle a, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle)^2] = \|a - b\|_2^2$.
2. [1 pt]. Show that $\text{Var} [(\langle a, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle)^2] \leq 2 \|a - b\|_2^4$.
Hint. Write the variance as expectation and expand it. Which summands survive under expectation?
3. [1 pt]. Use Chebyshev's inequality to conclude that, by repeating the plan constantly many times (drawing independent x each time), Bob can correctly tell if $\|a - b\|_2 \leq 0.1$ or $\|a - b\|_2 \geq 1$ with at least 99% success probability.
4. [2 pt]. In the above plan, Alice has to send at least n bits to communicate the random x with Bob. Show how they can modify the plan so that the number of bits communicated is $O(\log n)$ while not changing the success probability.

Hint. What type of pseudorandom $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ could make the claims in all previous questions still hold?